SCENARIO#1 AND #2: ONLY SOURCES ARE UPSTREAM AND STORMWATER (no contribution from sediment)

How does stormwater affect sediment and fish tissue concentrations when the only other PCB source is upstream flow (i.e, NO contribution from sediments)? The data below is arranged to coincide with the 37 model segments, as shown here: 22 25 28 34 West 19 31 Center 5 8 11 14 17 20 23 26 29 32 35 2 15 East 12 18 30 36 37 Lagoon 9.4 9.4 10.0 10.0 8.3 ₽ 7.5 RM 6.85 6.85 R.M 6.05 5.3£ 4.7 ₽.7 3.4 3.4 10.2 10.2 꼴 .a 2 12.0 ■ 6. SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION Model Input (ug/kg) West 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Center Λ O 0 0 0 0 0 n O 0 0 East 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lagoon n Scenario #1 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg) 4.3E-06 4.2E-06 4.2E-06 4.2E-06 West 5.0E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 Center 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 1.8E-06 7.2E-07 4.3E-06 East 4.5E-06 4.5E-06 4 4F-06 4 4F-06 4.4E-06 4.3E-06 4 3F-06 4 2F-06 4 2F-06 4 2F-06 5.0F-06 Lagoon 8.7E-09 Scenario #2 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITH stormwater (ug/kg) West 4.6E-06 4.9E-06 5.0E-06 5.5E-06 5.6E-06 5.6E-06 5.5E-06 5.5E-06 5.4E-06 5.5E-06 5.5E-06 6.7E-06 Center 1.8E-06 7.2E-07 1.8E-06 4.7E-06 4.7E-06 4.7E-06 4.7E-06 4.7E-06 4.6E-06 4.6E-06 4.7E-06 4.8E-06 9.1E-06 1.2E-05 East 1.5E-05 Lagoon 6.5E-07 QUESTION #1: How much did the sediment concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #2 minus Scenario #1] 1.1E-06 1.2E-06 1.3E-06 1.2E-06 1.2E-06 1.2E-06 1.3E-06 1.7E-06 West 1.3E-07 4.4E-07 5.7E-07 1.3E-06 Center 5.2F-11 1 7F-10 5.6F-10 8.3F-10 1 1F-09 2 0F-09 3 1F-10 1 4F-09 1 7F-09 3 1F-09 4 7F-09 3.5F-09 East 1.6E-07 2.0E-07 2.3E-07 3.0E-07 3.1E-07 3.2E-07 3.3E-07 4.1E-07 5.4E-07 4.9E-06 7.8E-06 9.8E-06 Lagoon 6.4E-07 QUESTION #2: What percentage of modeled sediment concentration can be attributed to stormwater? [Question #1 divided by Scenario #2] West 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000%

TISSUE CONCENTRATION

Center

Lagoon

Fast

Model Input: The model run starts with fish tissue at 0 ug/kg.

-0.0005% -0.0004%

-0.0002% -0.0002%

Scenario #1 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg)

-0.0002%

-0.0004%

-0.0002%

Scenario #1 Output: Modeled average dissue concentration WithOot stormwater (ug/kg)													
West	5.93	5.92	5.89	5.75	5.78	5.72	5.67	5.63	5.60	5.63	5.54	6.66	
Center	4.43	4.49	4.58	4.43	4.39	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.34	4.32	1.74	
East	5.90	5.98	5.81	5.82	5.74	5.72	5.60	5.69	5.53	5.53	5.53	6.71	
Lagoon			-	-	0.09		-		-		•		

-0.0004%

-0.0002%

-0.0004%

-0.0002% -0.0002%

-0.0004%

-0.0004%

-0.0002%

-0.0004% -0.0005%

-0.0002% | -0.0001%

Scenario #2 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITH stormwater (ug/kg)

Occidento n	Z Output.	vioacica avc	rage lissue t	concentiation	1 1111 3101	iliwatci (ug/i	(9)					
West	5.95	6.22	6.30	6.72	6.79	6.64	6.63	6.56	6.49	6.48	6.61	8.01
Center	4.48	4.42	4.49	4.44	4.39	4.41	4.36	4.31	4.25	4.33	4.29	1.72
East	6.06	6.03	6.04	6.00	5.96	6.02	5.96	5.91	6.05	9.45	11.71	14.43
Lagoon					5.31							

QUESTION #3: How much did the fish tissue concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #2 minus Scenario #1]

-0.0004% -0.0004% -0.0004%

-0.0004%

-0.0002% -0.0002%

West	0.02	0.30	0.40	0.97	1.01	0.92	0.96	0.93	0.89	0.85	1.07	1.35
Center	0.05	-0.06	-0.09	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-0.08	-0.01	-0.03	-0.02
East	0.16	0.05	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.29	0.35	0.23	0.51	3.92	6.18	7.71
Lagoon					5.22							

QUESTION #4: What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater? [Question #3 divided by Scenario #2]

West	0.30%	4.85%	6.41%	14.41%	14.85%	13.86%	14.48%	14.24%	13.78%	13.15%	16.16%	16.85%
Center	1.04%	-1.45%	-2.02%	0.17%	-0.11%	-0.25%	0.60%	-0.55%	-1.97%	-0.23%	-0.80%	-1.02%
East	2.56%	0.79%	3.75%	2.85%	3.74%	4.90%	5.92%	3.84%	8.51%	41.50%	52.77%	53.48%
Lagoon					98.39%	<u>.</u>						

BOLD > 5%

NOTE: Several of the food web model parameters are expressed as uniform random variables, meaning their value is not fixed and changes with every iteration of the model. For this reason, and because of unexpressed uncertainty, anything less than a ~5% difference should not be considered meaningful.

SCENARIO #4 AND #5: STORMWATER'S EFFECT AFTER SEDIMENT "HOT SPOTS" CLEANED UP

How does stormwater affect sediment and fish tissue concentrations when the only other PCB inputs are upstream flow and sediments, and sediment concentrations throughout the harbor are set at a hypothetical clean up level? [In an attempt to represent what the average sediment concentration would be if "hot spots" were cleaned up, the initial total concentration in sediment for each model segment was derived by averaging the 90th percentile of the values in all 105 model segments.]

West	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	25	28	31	34
Center	2	5	8	11	14	17	20	23	26	29	32	35
East	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
Lagoon					37							
RM 12.0	RM 10.4	RM 10.0	9.4	R.M 8.3	RM 7.5	6.85	RM 6.05	RM 5.35	RM 4.7	RM 4.05	RM 3.4	1.8

SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION

Model Input: Observed mean sediment concentrations (ug/kg)

West	0.91	2.97	0.00	57.53	1.50	5.95	3.50	1.15	0.29	6.65	0.93	0.42
Center	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	5.10	0.55	0.43	0.24	0.00	0.48
East	0.42	0.61	0.00	1.06	6.24	1.35	3.54	5.09	0.40	0.00	368.07	332.31
Lagoon	•	•	•		8.81			•			•	

Scenario #4 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg)

West	2.86	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.98	2.98	2.98	2.77
Center	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.72	2.87	2.81	2.74	2.86	2.81	2.81	2.81	2.73
East	2.86	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.98	2.98	2.98	2.77
Lagoon					2.84							<u>.</u>

Scenario #5 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITH stormwater (ug/kg)

West	2.86	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.98	2.98	2.98	2.77
Center	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.72	2.87	2.81	2.74	2.86	2.81	2.81	2.81	2.73
East	2.86	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.98	2.98	2.98	2.77
Lagoon					2.84							

QUESTION #1: How much did the sediment concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #5 minus Scenario #4]

		a.ao oo		00		0.0	mato. mao	uuuou to ti		Leganiana	70 mm ac C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lagoon					0							

NOTE: In actuality, these numbers are >0 but are so small they round to zero. However, because there is considerable +/- uncertainty, they should not be treated as absolutes.

TISSUE CONCENTRATION

Model Input: The model run starts with fish tissue at 0 ug/kg.

Scenario #4 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg)

West	10.49	10.38	10.35	10.08	10.07	10.10	9.97	10.06	10.50	10.38	10.18	11.24
Center	8.78	8.68	8.83	8.69	8.87	8.98	8.73	8.94	8.74	8.87	8.69	6.01
East	10.45	10.50	10.17	10.17	10.14	10.11	9.96	9.91	10.39	10.37	9.95	10.87
Lagoon					4.63							

Scenario #5 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITH stormwater (ug/kg)

West	10.37	10.61	10.62	11.13	11.13	10.90	11.20	11.12	11.18	11.30	11.17	12.42
Center	8.74	8.82	8.87	8.82	8.94	8.81	8.68	8.86	8.77	8.76	8.82	6.05
East	10.58	10.44	10.32	10.39	10.26	10.32	10.34	10.12	10.68	14.18	16.33	18.85
Lagoon		•	•		9.75							

QUESTION #2: How much did the fish tissue concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #5 minus Scenario #4]

West	-0.12	0.23	0.27	1.05	1.05	0.80	1.23	1.07	0.68	0.92	0.98	1.18
Center	-0.04	0.14	0.04	0.13	0.07	-0.17	-0.05	-0.08	0.03	-0.11	0.13	0.04
East	0.13	-0.06	0.15	0.22	0.12	0.21	0.38	0.21	0.29	3.81	6.38	7.98
Lagoon					5.12							

QUESTION #3: What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater? [Question #2 divided by Scenario #5]

٠.	Wilat peroc	ntage or th	c illoacica	Hom Hood	Confection	ttion oan b	c attributed	to storing	rate: [wat	SHOTT IIZ GIV	naca by Coo	mano noj
	-1.17%	2.19%	2.59%	9.47%	9.47%	7.37%	11.01%	9.58%	6.06%	8.17%	8.81%	9.51%
	-0.50%	1.56%	0.46%	1.44%	0.81%	-1.92%	-0.63%	-0.90%	0.40%	-1.21%	1.45%	0.66%
	1.18%	-0.60%	1.45%	2.09%	1.19%	2.05%	3.66%	2.11%	2.70%	26.86%	39.06%	42.34%
					52.52%							

BOLD > 5%

West Center East Lagoon

NOTE: Several of the food web model parameters are expressed as uniform random variables, meaning their value is not fixed and changes with every iteration of the model. For this reason, and because of unexpressed uncertainty, anything less than a ~5% difference should not be considered meaningful.

SCENARIO #6 AND #7: STORMWATER'S EFFECT UNDER CURRENT CONDITIONS

How does stormwater affect sediment and fish tissue concentrations when the only other PCB inputs are upstream flow and sediments, with sediment concentrations set at currently observed levels?

The data below	is arranged to	coincide with the 3	R7 model seaments	as shown here:

West	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	25	28	31	34
Center	2	5	8	11	14	17	20	23	26	29	32	35
East	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
Lagoon					37							
RM 12.0	RM 10.4	RM 10.0	9. 4 4	RM 8.3	RM 7.5	RM 6.85	RM 6.05	RM 5.35	RM 4.7	RM 4.05	RM 3.4	1.8

SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION

Model Input: Observed mean sediment concentrations (ug/kg)

West	0.910	2.971	0.000	57.530	1.501	5.947	3.501	1.152	0.289	6.650	0.934	0.415
Center	0.000	0.226	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.770	5.095	0.545	0.428	0.240	0.000	0.481
East	0.416	0.614	0.000	1.056	6.241	1.350	3.537	5.092	0.396	0.000	368.070	332.306
Lagoon					8.810			-	-	-		

Scenario #6 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg)

West	0.866	2.770	0.000	56.698	1.733	5.330	3.466	1.979	0.213	6.396	1.066	0.433
Center	0.000	0.228	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.729	5.016	0.547	0.426	0.241	0.000	0.456
East	0.476	0.693	0.000	1.008	6.238	1.066	3.466	4.947	0.384	0.000	367.780	330.926
Lagoon					8 402							

Scenario #7 Output: Modeled mean sediment concentrations WITH stormwater (ug/kg)

West	0.866	2.770	0.000	56.698	1.733	5.330	3.466	1.979	0.213	6.396	1.066	0.433
Center	0.000	0.228	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.729	5.016	0.547	0.426	0.241	0.000	0.456
East	0.476	0.693	0.000	1.008	6.238	1.066	3.466	4.947	0.384	0.000	367.780	330.926
Lagoon		•	•		8.402							

QUESTION #1: How much did the sediment concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #7 minus Scenario #6]

-3 110N #1. I	10W IIIUCII	ulu ille set	innent con	centration	iliciease w	Hell Stollin	water was	auueu to ti	ie system r	[Scenano	#1 IIIIIIus Si	Jenano #oj
West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lagoon	•	-			0						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·

NOTE: In actuality, these numbers are >0 but are so small they round to zero. However, because there is considerable +/uncertainty, they should not be treated as absolutes.

TISSUE CONCENTRATION

Model Input: The model run starts with fish tissue at 0 ug/kg.

Scenario #6 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITHOUT stormwater (ug/kg)

West	7.22	10.41	5.90	92.22	8.39	13.90	11.27	8.62	5.92	15.63	7.12	7.35
Center	4.45	4.84	4.44	4.40	4.44	5.55	12.12	5.18	4.97	4.67	4.39	2.48
East	6.64	7.01	5.87	7.47	15.29	7.42	11.21	13.63	6.18	5.42	591.80	520.38
Lagoon			•	•	13 51		•					

Scenario #7 Output: Modeled average tissue concentration WITH stormwater (ug/kg)

West	7.45	10.73	6.26	94.65	9.35	15.14	11.99	9.68	6.79	16.48	8.32	8.71
Center	4.43	4.77	4.40	4.40	4.38	5.49	12.25	5.23	4.97	4.68	4.31	2.45
East	6.84	7.27	6.06	7.55	15.62	7.65	11.44	13.64	6.72	9.52	581.87	554.07
Lagoon			-	-	18.69		-	-	-	-	-	

QUESTION #2: How much did the fish tissue concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #7 minus Scenario #6]

0E011014 #2. 1	iiow iiiacii	aid the his	i lissuc co	nocini alioi	i iiioi casc	wiich Ston	iiwatei was	s added to	uic systein	· [Occilanc	, #1 IIIIII 143 (
West	0.23	0.32	0.36	2.43	0.96	1.24	0.73	1.06	0.87	0.85	1.20	1.36
Center	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04	-0.01	-0.06	-0.06	0.14	0.05	-0.01	0.02	-0.08	-0.04
East	0.20	0.25	0.18	0.07	0.33	0.23	0.23	0.01	0.53	4.10	-9.93	33.69
Lagoon		·		<u>-</u>	5.18			<u>-</u>			-	•

QUESTION #3: What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater? [Question #2 divided by Scenario #7] W

_011014 #3.	of 1014 #3. What percentage of the modeled hish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater: [Question #2 divided by ocentario #7]														
West	3.11%	2.94%	5.75%	2.57%	10.29%	8.22%	6.07%	10.94%	12.77%	5.16%	14.43%	15.61%			
Center	-0.41%	-1.46%	-0.96%	-0.14%	-1.29%	-1.08%	1.10%	0.91%	-0.10%	0.36%	-1.85%	-1.58%			
East	2.97%	3.48%	2.99%	0.99%	2.14%	2.99%	1.98%	0.11%	7.96%	43.04%	-1.71%	6.08%			
Lagoon			•		27 73%										

BOLD > 5%

NOTE: Several of the food web model parameters are expressed as uniform random variables, meaning their value is not fixed and changes with every iteration of the model. For this reason, and because of unexpressed uncertainty, anything less than a ~5% difference should not be considered meaningful.

MODEL RESULTS SUMMARY

The model is set up for PCB 118. However, the LWG data only includes PCB 106&118, so we used those values (i.e., treated them as if they were PCB 118) for calculating stormwater loads.

The data below is arranged to coincide with the 37 model segments, as shown here:

					,							
West	1	4	7	10	13	16	19	22	25	28	31	34
Center	2	5	8	11	14	17	20	23	26	29	32	35
East	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
Lagoon					37							
RM 12.0	RM 10.4	10.0	R M 9.4	8.3	RM 7.5	6.85	6.05	RM 5.35	R M 4.7	RM 4.05	RM 3.4	1.8

SEDIMENT

Starting with clean sediments and upstream flow being the only source of PCBs, how much did the sediment concentration increase when stormwater was added to the system? [Scenario #1 and #2]

	, , , , , ,	Ottom: [Otto	011a110 # 1 a									
West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lagoon					0							

NOTE: In actuality, these numbers are >0 but are so small they round to zero. However, because there is considerable +/- uncertainty, they should not be treated as absolutes.

FISH TISSUE

What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater when stormwater and upstream are the only sources? [Scenario #1 and #2]

West	0.30%	4.85%	6.41%	14.41%	14.85%	13.86%	14.48%	14.24%	13.78%	13.15%	16.16%	16.85%
Center	1.04%	-1.45%	-2.02%	0.17%	-0.11%	-0.25%	0.60%	-0.55%	-1.97%	-0.23%	-0.80%	-1.02%
East	2.56%	0.79%	3.75%	2.85%	3.74%	4.90%	5.92%	3.84%	8.51%	41.50%	52.77%	53.48%
Lagoon	·				98.39%							

What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater when sediment concentration throughout the harbor is set at a hypothetical clean up value? [Scenario #4 and #5] [In an attempt to represent what the average sediment concentration in the harbor would be if "hot spots" were cleaned up, the initial total concentration in sediment for each model segment was derived by averaging the 90th percentile of the values in all 105 model segments.]

West	-1.17%	2.19%	2.59%	9.47%	9.47%	7.37%	11.01%	9.58%	6.06%	8.17%	8.81%	9.51%
Center	-0.50%	1.56%	0.46%	1.44%	0.81%	-1.92%	-0.63%	-0.90%	0.40%	-1.21%	1.45%	0.66%
East	1.18%	-0.60%	1.45%	2.09%	1.19%	2.05%	3.66%	2.11%	2.70%	26.86%	39.06%	42.34%
Lagoon					52.52%							

What percentage of the modeled fish tissue concentration can be attributed to stormwater when sediment concentrations are set at currently observed levels? [Scenario #6 and #7]

West	3.11%	2.94%	5.75%	2.57%	10.29%	8.22%	6.07%	10.94%	12.77%	5.16%	14.43%	15.61%
Center	-0.41%	-1.46%	-0.96%	-0.14%	-1.29%	-1.08%	1.10%	0.91%	-0.10%	0.36%	-1.85%	-1.58%
East	2.97%	3.48%	2.99%	0.99%	2.14%	2.99%	1.98%	0.11%	7.96%	43.04%	-1.71%	6.08%
Lagoon				-	27.73%			-				

BOLD > 5%

NOTE: Several of the food web model parameters are expressed as uniform random variables, meaning their value is not fixed and changes with every iteration of the model. For this reason, and because of unexpressed uncertainty, anything less than a ~5% difference should not be considered meaningful.